

# **Contents**

# Contents

	Page
<b>Summary .....</b>	S-1
<b>Foreword/Introduction .....</b>	Intro-1
<b>Chapter 1 Purpose of and Need for Action.....</b>	1-1
<b>1.1 Introduction.....</b>	1-1
<b>1.1.1 Proposed Action .....</b>	1-1
<b>1.1.2 Project Applicant's Proposal .....</b>	1-2
<b>1.1.3 Purpose of Legacy Parkway Project.....</b>	1-3
<b>1.2 Summary of Need for Transportation Improvements in North Corridor .....</b>	1-5
<b>1.2.1 Summary of Final EIS Description of North Corridor Transportation Needs.....</b>	1-5
<b>1.2.2 North Corridor Transportation Needs .....</b>	1-6
<b>1.2.3 Definition of the Shared Solution .....</b>	1-8
<b>1.2.4 Needs Addressed by Legacy Parkway Project.....</b>	1-11
<b>1.3 Objectives for Proposed Action .....</b>	1-19
<b>1.3.1 Local Community Interests .....</b>	1-20
<b>1.3.2 Trails .....</b>	1-20
<b>Chapter 2 Tenth Circuit Court Ruling Analysis.....</b>	2.0-1
<b>Section 2.0 Introduction.....</b>	2.0-1
<b>2.0.1 Background .....</b>	2.0-1
<b>2.0.2 Technical Memoranda .....</b>	2.0-2
<b>Section 2.1 Right-of-Way Issues .....</b>	2.1-1
<b>2.1.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....</b>	2.1-1
<b>2.1.2 Summary of Analysis Presented in Technical Memorandum.....</b>	2.1-1
<b>2.1.2.1 Supplemental EIS Right-of-Way Cross-Section Components.....</b>	2.1-2
<b>2.1.2.2 Median Width Evaluation .....</b>	2.1-4
<b>2.1.2.3 Buffer Area Width Evaluation.....</b>	2.1-10
<b>2.1.2.4 Alternative Right-of-Way Widths and Wetlands Impact Evaluation.....</b>	2.1-12
<b>2.1.3 Conclusions .....</b>	2.1-16

Section 2.2	<b>Denver &amp; Rio Grande Corridor Evaluation .....</b>	2.2-1
2.2.1	Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.2-1
2.2.2	Summary of Analysis Presented in D&RG Technical Memorandum.....	2.2-2
2.2.2.1	Development of D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-2
2.2.3	Evaluation of D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-5
2.2.3.1	Impacts on Existing Development .....	2.2-6
2.2.3.2	Impacts on Wetlands .....	2.2-12
2.2.3.3	Regional Corridor Costs and D&RG Alignment-Specific Costs .....	2.2-14
2.2.3.4	Summary of Impacts.....	2.2-15
2.2.4	Conclusions .....	2.2-18
Section 2.3	<b>Integration of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit .....</b>	2.3-1
2.3.1	Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.3-1
2.3.2	Summary of Analysis Presented in Integration Technical Memorandum.....	2.3-2
2.3.2.1	Development of Integrated Transit Enhancement Packages .....	2.3-2
2.3.2.2	Integration Analysis Results .....	2.3-9
2.3.2.3	Integration of Physical Construction of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit Improvements.....	2.3-10
2.3.3	Conclusions .....	2.3-11
2.3.4	Updated Information for Supplemental EIS .....	2.3-11
Section 2.4	<b>Sequencing of the Shared Solution.....</b>	2.4-1
2.4.1	Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.4-1
2.4.2	Summary of Analysis Presented in Sequencing Technical Memorandum .....	2.4-2
2.4.2.1	Approach to Analysis of the Sequencing of the Shared Solution.....	2.4-3
2.4.2.2	Results of Construction Sequencing Scenarios.....	2.4-5
2.4.3	Conclusions .....	2.4-9
Section 2.5	<b>Wildlife Issues.....</b>	2.5-1
2.5.1	Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.5-1
2.5.2	Summary of Analysis Presented in Wildlife Technical Memorandum.....	2.5-2
2.5.3	Conclusions .....	2.5-3
Chapter 3	<b>Alternatives .....</b>	3-1
3.1	Summary of Alternatives Presented in Final EIS.....	3-1
3.1.1	Non-Highway Alternatives .....	3-1
3.1.2	Expansion of I-15 .....	3-2
3.1.3	Development of the Shared Solution Concept for the North Corridor in the Final EIS .....	3-2
3.1.4	Legacy Parkway Alternatives Analyzed in Final EIS .....	3-3
3.1.5	Project Alternatives Analyzed in Detail in Final EIS .....	3-4
3.1.6	Reevaluation of Project Alternatives Using Revised Travel Demand Model.....	3-6

3.2	Additional Project Alternatives Evaluated in This Supplemental EIS but Eliminated from Detailed Study.....	3-8
3.2.1	Criteria for Evaluating Additional Alternatives.....	3-8
3.2.2	Results of Additional Alternatives Evaluation .....	3-10
3.2.3	Summary of Alternatives Eliminated.....	3-17
3.3	Alternative Ways of Implementing Legacy Parkway.....	3-17
3.3.1	Legacy Parkway with a Narrower Right-of-Way .....	3-17
3.3.2	Integrating Construction of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit.....	3-18
3.3.3	Alternative Construction Sequences for the Shared Solution.....	3-18
3.3.4	Alternatives without Trail Component or Separate Trail Facility .....	3-18
3.4	Alternatives Analyzed in Detail in this Supplemental EIS.....	3-20
3.4.1	Modified Definition of the No-Build Alternative .....	3-20
3.4.2	Modified Build Alternatives A, B, C, and D/E .....	3-21
3.4.3	Updated Project Cost Estimates .....	3-27
3.5	Summary of Alternatives Evaluated .....	3-28
3.6	Land Acquired to Date.....	3-28
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Supplemental Environmental Analysis .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.0	Introduction.....	4-1
4.0.1	Study Area .....	4-1
4.0.2	Great Salt Lake Ecosystem.....	4-2
4.0.3	Alternatives Evaluated .....	4-3
Section 4.1	<b>Land Use .....</b>	<b>4.1-1</b>
4.1.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.1-1
4.1.2	Affected Environment .....	4.1-4
4.1.2.1	Current Land Use and Development Trends in the Study Area .....	4.1-4
4.1.2.2	Local Land Use Plans.....	4.1-5
4.1.2.3	Regional Land Use Planning Studies .....	4.1-7
4.1.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.1-10
4.1.3.1	Impacts on Cities and Counties .....	4.1-10
4.1.3.2	Consistency with Plans and Policies .....	4.1-14
4.1.3.3	Impacts on Growth within and beyond the North Corridor .....	4.1-15
Section 4.2	<b>Farmland .....</b>	<b>4.2-1</b>
4.2.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.2-1
4.2.2	Affected Environment .....	4.2-2
4.2.2.1	Current Agricultural Production.....	4.2-2
4.2.2.2	Prime Farmland .....	4.2-3
4.2.2.3	Unique Farmland .....	4.2-3
4.2.2.4	Farmland of State Importance .....	4.2-4
4.2.2.5	Farmland of Local Importance .....	4.2-4
4.2.2.6	Agricultural Protection Areas .....	4.2-4
4.2.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.2-4
4.2.3.1	All Farmland (Cropland).....	4.2-5
4.2.3.2	Prime Farmland.....	4.2-7
4.2.3.3	Unique Farmland .....	4.2-8

4.2.3.4	Farmland of State Importance .....	4.2-8
4.2.3.5	Farmland of Local Importance .....	4.2-8
4.2.3.6	Agricultural Protection Areas .....	4.2-9
<b>Section 4.3</b>	<b>Social .....</b>	<b>4.3-1</b>
4.3.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Affected Environment .....	4.3-2
4.3.2.1	Population Growth .....	4.3-2
4.3.2.2	Social Composition .....	4.3-4
4.3.2.3	Environmental Justice Populations .....	4.3-7
4.3.2.4	Neighborhood and Community Cohesion .....	4.3-8
4.3.2.5	Travel Patterns & Accessibility .....	4.3-8
4.3.2.6	Public Facilities .....	4.3-12
4.3.2.7	Public Services and Utilities.....	4.3-13
4.3.2.8	Recreation Resources .....	4.3-14
4.3.2.9	Public Health and Safety .....	4.3-15
4.3.2.10	Quality of Life .....	4.3-15
4.3.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.3-16
4.3.3.1	Socially Disadvantaged Groups.....	4.3-16
4.3.3.2	Environmental Justice Population.....	4.3-17
4.3.3.3	Neighborhood and Community Cohesion .....	4.3-18
4.3.3.4	Travel Patterns and Accessibility .....	4.3-20
4.3.3.5	Public Facilities .....	4.3-26
4.3.3.6	Public Services and Utilities.....	4.3-27
4.3.3.7	Recreation Resources .....	4.3-29
4.3.3.8	Public Health and Safety .....	4.3-31
4.3.3.9	Quality of Life .....	4.3-32
<b>Section 4.4</b>	<b>Relocations .....</b>	<b>4.4-1</b>
4.4.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Affected Environment .....	4.4-1
4.4.2.1	Existing Conditions .....	4.4-1
4.4.2.2	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970 .....	4.4-2
4.4.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.4-2
4.4.3.1	Residential Properties.....	4.4-2
4.4.3.2	Businesses .....	4.4-4
4.4.3.3	Farmsteads and Horse Paddocks .....	4.4-6
4.4.3.4	Summary of Displacements .....	4.4-8
4.4.3.5	Mitigation Measures.....	4.4-9
<b>Section 4.5</b>	<b>Economics .....</b>	<b>4.5-1</b>
4.5.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Affected Environment .....	4.5-2
4.5.2.1	Employment.....	4.5-2
4.5.2.2	Commerce .....	4.5-5
4.5.2.3	Tax Base.....	4.5-5
4.5.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.5-6
4.5.3.1	Regional Economic Impacts .....	4.5-7
4.5.3.2	Local Economic and Community Impacts.....	4.5-8

Section 4.6	<b>Joint Development .....</b>	4.6-1
	4.6.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.6-1
	4.6.2 Affected Environment .....	4.6-2
	4.6.2.1 Jordan Valley County Water Conservancy District .....	4.6-2
	4.6.2.2 Trail System Development.....	4.6-2
	4.6.2.3 Environmental Interpretation.....	4.6-2
	4.6.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.6-3
	4.6.3.1 No-Build Alternative .....	4.6-3
	4.6.3.2 Build Alternatives .....	4.6-3
Section 4.7	<b>Pedestrian and Bicyclist Considerations .....</b>	4.7-1
	4.7.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.7-1
	4.7.2 Affected Environment .....	4.7-1
	4.7.2.1 Existing Facilities .....	4.7-1
	4.7.2.2 Proposed Facilities .....	4.7-3
	4.7.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.7-5
	4.7.3.1 Existing Facilities .....	4.7-5
	4.7.3.2 Proposed Facilities .....	4.7-7
Section 4.8	<b>Air Quality .....</b>	4.8-1
	4.8.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.8-1
	4.8.2 Affected Environment .....	4.8-2
	4.8.2.1 Pollutants of Concern .....	4.8-2
	4.8.2.2 Climate.....	4.8-3
	4.8.2.3 National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4.8-3
	4.8.2.4 Air Quality Attainment Status in the Study Area .....	4.8-4
	4.8.2.5 Air Toxics .....	4.8-5
	4.8.2.6 Other Pollutants .....	4.8-6
	4.8.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.8-6
	4.8.3.1 Conformity Requirements .....	4.8-6
	4.8.3.2 Mesoscale Evaluation.....	4.8-7
	4.8.3.3 Microscale “Hot Spot” Impact Analysis .....	4.8-10
Section 4.9	<b>Noise.....</b>	4.9-1
	4.9.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.9-1
	4.9.1.1 Background Information on Noise .....	4.9-1
	4.9.1.2 Methods Used to Update Noise Analysis .....	4.9-2
	4.9.1.3 Regulatory Requirements .....	4.9-4
	4.9.2 Affected Environment .....	4.9-5
	4.9.2.1 Existing Noise Levels.....	4.9-5
	4.9.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.9-7
	4.9.3.1 Operational Noise Impacts .....	4.9-7
	4.9.3.3 Noise Abatement Measures .....	4.9-12
Section 4.10	<b>Water Quality .....</b>	4.10-1
	4.10.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.10-1
	4.10.2 Affected Environment .....	4.10-2
	4.10.2.1 Water Quality Regulations .....	4.10-2
	4.10.2.2 Great Salt Lake Water Quality .....	4.10-2
	4.10.2.3 Water Quality of Surface Conveyances .....	4.10-2
	4.10.2.4 Groundwater Quality.....	4.10-3
	4.10.2.5 Groundwater Rights .....	4.10-3

4.10.2.6 Deicing Operations .....	4.10-4
4.10.2.7 Use of Gray Water for Landscaping .....	4.10-5
4.10.2.8 Biogeochemistry of Wetlands .....	4.10-5
4.10.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.10-6
4.10.3.1 Contaminants Evaluated.....	4.10-6
4.10.3.2 Surface Water Quality.....	4.10-7
4.10.3.3 Groundwater Quality.....	4.10-12
4.10.3.4 Groundwater Rights and Wells .....	4.10-13
4.10.3.5 Biogeochemical Functions of Wetlands .....	4.10-14
<b>Section 4.11 Permits and Clearances.....</b>	<b>4.11-1</b>
4.11.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.11-1
4.11.2 Affected Environment .....	4.11-1
4.11.2.1 Federal Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-1
4.11.2.2 State Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-4
4.11.2.3 Local Permits & Clearances .....	4.11-6
<b>Section 4.12 Wetlands.....</b>	<b>4.12-1</b>
4.12.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.12-1
4.12.1.1 Wetland Delineation and Reverification.....	4.12-1
4.12.1.2 Wetlands Functional Assessment.....	4.12-2
4.12.1.3 Regulatory Update .....	4.12-2
4.12.2 Affected Environment .....	4.12-3
4.12.2.1 Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classes .....	4.12-6
4.12.2.2 Wetland Cover Types .....	4.12-7
4.12.2.3 Wetland Functions .....	4.12-7
4.12.2.3 Wetlands and Great Salt Lake Flooding .....	4.12-8
4.12.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.12-9
4.12.3.1 Direct Impacts .....	4.12-9
4.12.3.2 Indirect Impacts .....	4.12-13
4.12.3.3 Impacts on Wetland Functions .....	4.12-15
4.12.3.4 Mitigation Measures .....	4.12-20
<b>Section 4.13 Wildlife.....</b>	<b>4.13-1</b>
4.13.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.13-1
4.13.1.1 Project Study Area .....	4.13-1
4.13.1.2 Regional Study Area.....	4.13-2
4.13.1.3 Great Salt Lake Ecosystem .....	4.13-2
4.13.1.4 Methods Used to Acquire Information .....	4.13-2
4.13.1.5 Methods Used in the Analysis .....	4.13-3
4.13.2 Affected Environment .....	4.13-4
4.13.2.1 Changes in Habitat since Final EIS .....	4.13-4
4.13.2.2 Historic Habitat Conditions .....	4.13-5
4.13.2.3 Existing Wildlife in Project Study Area .....	4.13-6
4.13.2.4 Existing Wildlife Habitats in Project Study Area.....	4.13-7
4.13.2.5 Existing Conditions Related to Wildlife Habitats in Project Study Area .....	4.13-8
4.13.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.13-13

4.13.3.1	Direct Habitat Loss .....	4.13-13
4.13.3.2	Changes in Lake Level and Habitat Availability .....	4.13-20
4.13.3.3	Habitat Fragmentation .....	4.13-24
4.13.3.4	Air Quality .....	4.13-28
4.13.3.5	Water Quality .....	4.13-29
4.13.3.6	Wetland Hydrology .....	4.13-30
4.13.3.7	Wildlife Mortality.....	4.13-31
4.13.3.8	Artificial Landscaping.....	4.13-31
4.13.3.9	Artificial Light Disturbance .....	4.13-32
4.13.3.10	Highway Noise Disturbance.....	4.13-33
4.13.3.11	Human Disturbance .....	4.13-35
4.13.3.12	Potential Effects on Species of Concern .....	4.13-36
4.13.3.13	Cumulative Impacts .....	4.13-47
4.13.3.14	Mitigation Measures.....	4.13-47
<b>Section 4.14</b>	<b>Floodplains .....</b>	<b>4.14-1</b>
4.14.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.14-1
4.14.2	Affected Environment .....	4.14-1
4.14.2.1	Regulatory Setting .....	4.14-1
4.14.2.2	FEMA Studies and Maps .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.3	Vertical Datum Differential .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.4	Corps Floodplain Study .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.4	Wetland Hydrology .....	4.14-3
4.14.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.14-3
4.14.3.1	Floodplain Management .....	4.14-3
4.14.3.2	Floodplain Impacts.....	4.14-3
4.14.3.3	Hydrologic Function of Wetlands .....	4.14-5
4.14.3.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.14-5
<b>Section 4.15</b>	<b>Threatened and Endangered Species .....</b>	<b>4.15-1</b>
4.15.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.15-1
4.15.2	Affected Environment .....	4.15-1
4.15.2.1	Definitions .....	4.15-3
4.15.2.2	Federally Listed Species.....	4.15-3
4.15.2.3	State-Listed Species.....	4.15-3
4.15.2.4	State Species of Special Concern .....	4.15-3
4.15.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.15-6
4.15.3.1	Threatened and Endangered Vegetation.....	4.15-6
4.15.3.2	Threatened and Endangered Wildlife .....	4.15-6
<b>Section 4.16</b>	<b>Historic and Archaeological Resources.....</b>	<b>4.16-1</b>
4.16.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.16-1
4.16.1.1	Supplemental EIS .....	4.16-1
4.16.1.2	Memorandum of Agreement .....	4.16-3
4.16.2	Affected Environment .....	4.16-3
4.16.2.1	Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-3
4.16.2.2	Historic Structures .....	4.16-7
4.16.2.3	Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-12
4.16.2.4	Historic Railroad Corridors.....	4.16-14

4.16.2.5 Paleontological Resources .....	4.16-14
4.16.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.16-14
4.16.3.1 Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-14
4.16.3.2 Historic Structures .....	4.16-17
4.16.3.3 Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-19
4.16.3.4 Historic Railroad Corridors.....	4.16-20
4.16.3.5 Paleontological Resources .....	4.16-21
<b>Section 4.17 Hazardous Waste Sites .....</b>	<b>4.17-1</b>
4.17.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.17-1
4.17.2 Affected Environment .....	4.17-2
4.17.2.1 Potential Hazardous Waste Sites .....	4.17-2
4.17.2.2 Results of Field Investigations .....	4.17-5
4.17.2.3 Aerially Deposited Lead.....	4.17-6
4.17.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.17-6
4.17.3.1 Site Screening .....	4.17-6
4.17.3.2 Impacts from Potential Hazardous Waste Sites .....	4.17-8
4.17.3.3 Mitigation Measures for Impacts from Potential Hazardous Waste Sites.....	4.17-9
4.17.3.4 Impacts from Aerially Deposited Lead .....	4.17-9
<b>Section 4.18 Visual Resources.....</b>	<b>4.18-1</b>
4.18.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.18-1
4.18.2 Affected Environment .....	4.18-2
4.18.2.1 Development in Study Area since Publication of Final EIS.....	4.18-2
4.18.2.2 Visual Resources in Study Area Viewed from Offsite.....	4.18-2
4.18.2.3 Offsite Visual Resources Viewed from Study Area.....	4.18-3
4.18.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.18-3
4.18.3.1 Construction-Related Visual Impacts.....	4.18-3
4.18.3.2 Operation-Related Visual Impacts .....	4.18-3
4.18.3.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4.18-6
<b>Section 4.19 Energy .....</b>	<b>4.19-1</b>
4.19.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.19-1
4.19.2 Affected Environment .....	4.19-1
4.19.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.19-3
4.19.3.1 Direct Impacts .....	4.19-3
<b>Section 4.20 Construction Impacts.....</b>	<b>4.20-1</b>
4.20.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.20-1
4.20.2 Affected Environment .....	4.20-1
4.20.2.1 Construction Activities to Date .....	4.20-2
4.20.2.2 Design Changes .....	4.20-2

4.20.3	<b>Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures</b>	4.20-3
4.20.3.1	No-Build Alternative	4.20-3
4.20.3.2	Build Alternatives	4.20-3
4.20.3.3	Mitigation Measures	4.20-9
<b>Section 4.21</b>	<b>Cumulative Impacts</b>	4.21-1
4.21.1	Approach and Methodology	4.21-1
4.21.2	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	4.21-1
4.21.3	Evaluation of Cumulative Impacts	4.21-2
4.21.3.1	Land Use	4.21-2
4.21.3.2	Farmland	4.21-3
4.21.3.3	Social	4.21-3
4.21.3.4	Relocations	4.21-4
4.21.3.5	Economics	4.21-4
4.21.3.6	Joint Development	4.21-4
4.21.3.7	Pedestrian and Bicycle Considerations	4.21-4
4.21.3.8	Air Quality	4.21-5
4.21.3.9	Noise	4.21-5
4.21.3.10	Water Quality	4.21-6
4.21.3.11	Wetlands	4.21-6
4.21.3.12	Wildlife	4.21-7
4.21.3.13	Floodplains	4.21-7
4.21.3.14	Threatened and Endangered Species	4.21-7
4.21.3.15	Historic and Archaeological Resources	4.21-7
4.21.3.16	Hazardous Waste Sites	4.21-8
4.21.3.17	Visual Resources	4.21-8
<b>Section 4.22</b>	<b>Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity</b>	4.22-1
<b>Section 4.23</b>	<b>Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources</b>	4.23-1
<b>Section 4.24</b>	<b>Mitigation Summary</b>	4.24-1
4.24.1	Approach and Methodology	4.24-1
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Draft Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation</b>	5-1
5.1	Approach and Methodology	5-1
5.2	Regulatory Setting	5-2
5.2.1	Section 4(f)	5-3
5.2.2	Section 6(f)	5-4
5.3	Description of Proposed Action	5-4
5.3.1	Purpose of and Need for Action	5-4
5.3.2	Alternatives	5-5
5.4	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties	5-6
5.4.1	Recreation, Wildlife, and Waterfowl Refuge Resources	5-6
5.4.2	Historic and Archaeological Resources	5-10
5.5	Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties	5-19
5.5.1	Recreation, Wildlife, and Waterfowl Refuge Resources	5-19
5.5.2	Historic Resources	5-26
5.5.3	Archaeological Resources	5-33

5.5.4	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties by Alternative .....	5-35
5.6	Avoidance Alternatives for Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-38
5.6.1	Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-38
5.6.2	Utah State Parks Land, including Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (Section 4[f] and 6[f] Property) .....	5-38
5.6.3	1300 Grovers Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-38
5.6.4	662 West Clark Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-39
5.6.5	D&RG Railroad (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-39
5.6.6	Historic Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-40
5.6.7	Site 42Dv2 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-41
5.6.8	Site 42Dv94 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-41
5.6.9	Archaeological Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-42
5.7	Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-42
5.7.1	Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-42
5.7.2	Utah State Parks Land, including Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (Section 4[f] and 6[f] Property) .....	5-43
5.7.3	1300 Grovers Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-43
5.7.4	662 West Clark Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-43
5.7.5	D&RG Railroad (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-43
5.7.6	Historic Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-44
5.7.7	Site 42Dv2 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-44
5.7.8	Site 42Dv94 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-45
5.7.9	Archaeological Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only .....	5-45
5.8	Coordination .....	5-45
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>List of Preparers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Lead Agencies .....	6-1
6.2	Jones & Stokes (Consultant to Lead Agencies and Document Preparation) .....	6-1
6.2.1	Fehr & Peers Associates (Subconsultant to Jones & Stokes) .....	6-2

6.3	Technical Assistance.....	6-3
6.3.1	Utah Department of Transportation .....	6-3
6.3.2	HDR Engineering (Consultant to Utah Department of Transportation) .....	6-3
6.3.3	Interplan Co. (Consultant to Utah Department of Transportation).....	6-4
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Distribution .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Federal Agencies.....	7-1
7.2	State of Utah Agencies.....	7-2
7.3	Local Agencies .....	7-3
7.4	Interested Nongovernmental Organizations .....	7-3
7.5	Native American Tribes .....	7-4
7.6	Libraries.....	7-4
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>References Cited .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
	Printed References .....	8-1
	Personal Communications .....	8-14
	City References.....	8-18
	Additional Literature Reviewed for Water Quality Reevaluation .....	8-19

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

## **Index**

## **Technical Appendices**

- Appendix A **Consultation and Coordination**
- Appendix B **Travel Demand**
- Appendix C **Noise**
- Appendix D **Wetlands**



# Tables

	On Page
S-1	Summary of Major Environmental Impacts by Alternative..... Summary-10
1	Legacy Parkway Project History.....Intro-4
1-1	Projected Demographic and Travel Demand Changes between 2001/2002 and 2020 .....1-12
1-2	I-15 Operating Conditions for 2001 and 2020 No-Build Alternative .....1-14
1-3	I-15 Levels of Service for 2001 and 2020 No-Build Alternative (Peak Hour, Peak Direction) .....1-15
1-4	Average Accident Rates by Roadway Classification for Urbanized Areas .....1-16
2.1-1	Legacy Parkway Proposed Right-of-Way Cross-Section Components and Dimensions (with Berm) .....2.1-2
2.1-2	Legacy Parkway Roadway Components and Dimensions (without Berm) .....2.1-4
2.1-3	Summary of Impacts of Alternative Water Quality Control Methods .....2.1-9
2.1-4	Alternative Right-of-Way Widths Evaluated for Impacts on Wetlands.....2.1-13
2.2-1	Comparison of D&RG Alignment Relocations with Alternative E Relocations .....2.2-8
2.2-2	Relocations in Links 2 and 3 .....2.2-9
2.2-3	Community Cohesion Impacts: Physical Barriers Created by Alignment.....2.2-10
2.2-4	Population Proportions of Communities .....2.2-10
2.2-5	Noise and Visual Impacts.....2.2-12
2.2-6	Wetland Impacts (in Acres) .....2.2-13
2.2-7	Acres of Wetlands Impacts in Right-of-Way in Links 2 and 3.....2.2-13

2.2-8	Updated Cost Estimates for Regional Alignments .....	2.2-14
2.2-9	D&RG Alignment-Specific Costs .....	2.2-15
2.2-10	Alignment-Specific Costs for Links 2 and 3 (millions) .....	2.2-15
2.2-11	Summary of Quantitative Impacts by Alignment .....	2.2-17
2.2-12	Summary of Costs, Wetlands Impacts, and Impacts on Existing Development for Links 2 and 3.....	2.2-18
2.3-1	Increases to Transit Ridership Resulting from Individual Transit/Land Use Enhancements Based on WFRC Model Response and Empirical Evidence .....	2.3-5
2.3-2	Comparision of Robust Transit Packages A and B with Baseline Conditions Set by WFRC Long Range Plan.....	2.3-9
2.5-1	Direct Wildlife Habitat Loss .....	2.5-2
3-1	Updated Cost Estimates for Regional Alignments .....	3-4
3-2	I-15 and Legacy Parkway Operating Conditions in 2020 at Woods Cross Screenline under No-Build Alternative and Shared Solution Scenarios.....	3-6
3-3	Summary Description of Network Assumptions for Evaluating Alternatives .....	3-7
3-4	I-15 Operating Conditions in 2020 for Alternatives Evaluated at Woods Cross Screenline .....	3-12
3-5	Comparison of Planned Capacity Improvements at Woods Cross Screenline for Updated WFRC Long Range Plan .....	3-20
3-6	Summary of Features of Modified Build Alternatives .....	3-23
3-7	Updated Project Cost Estimates .....	3-27
3-8	Summary of Alternatives Evaluated for Legacy Parkway Project .....	3-30
4.1-1	Public and Agency Coordination Meetings.....	4.1-3
4.1-2	Economic Indicators for Davis County, 1998–2002 .....	4.1-4
4.1-3	Projected Annual Increases in Population, Households, and Employment in Salt Lake, Davis, and Weber Counties, 2002 to 2020.....	4.1-17
4.2-1	Area of Irrigated and Non-irrigated Cropland in the Study Area.....	4.2-2
4.2-2	Area of Prime and State-Important Farmland .....	4.2-3

4.2-3	Impacts on Farmland.....	4.2-6
4.2-4	Impacts on Prime and State-Important Farmland .....	4.2-7
4.3-1	Population Statistics for Utah, Wasatch Front Region, and Study Area.....	4.3-3
4.3-2a	Population Densities for State of Utah and Davis, and Salt Lake Counties .....	4.3-4
4.3-2b	Population Densities for Census Tracts in or Adjacent to the Study Area .....	4.3-4
4.3-3	Minority and Low Income Populations.....	4.3-5
4.3-4	Elderly Populations.....	4.3-6
4.3-5	Level of Service Criteria .....	4.3-11
4.3-6	Existing Levels of Service on Interstates/Major State Highways in Study Area.....	4.3-11
4.3-7	Existing Levels of Service on Local Roadways in Study Area .....	4.3-12
4.3-8	Projected 2020 Levels of Service on Interstate and Major State Highway Segments in Study Area.....	4.3-21
4.3-9	Projected 2020 Levels of Service on Local Roadways with Peak-Period Volumes .....	4.3-22
4.3-10	Impacts on Public Facilities .....	4.3-27
4.3-11	Impacts on Recreation Resources in Study Area.....	4.3-30
4.4-1	Number of Residential Displacements Associated with Build Alternatives .....	4.4-3
4.4-2	Residential Property Acquired since Publication of Final EIS .....	4.4-3
4.4-3	Number of Business Displacements Associated with Build Alternatives.....	4.4-5
4.4-4	Business Property Acquired since Publication of the Final EIS .....	4.4-5
4.4-5	Number of Farmstead and Horse Paddock Displacements .....	4.4-7
4.4-6	Horse Paddocks Acquired by Location since Publication of the Final EIS.....	4.4-8
4.4-7	Summary of Displacements .....	4.4-9
4.5-1	Employment in Davis County (1998–2020).....	4.5-2
4.5-2	Employment in Salt Lake County (1998–2020).....	4.5-3

4.5-3	Employment Projections (2002–2020) by Census Tracts .....	4.5-4
4.5-4	Revenues—Property Taxes (2002).....	4.5-5
4.5-5	City Revenue Projections .....	4.5-6
4.7-1	Impacts on Existing Pedestrian and Bicyclist Facilities .....	4.7-6
4.8-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4.8-3
4.8-2	Nonattainment Designations for Jurisdictions in or adjacent to the Study Area.....	4.8-5
4.8-3	Existing (2001) and Future (2020) Regional Mesoscale Air Quality for Weber, Davis, and Salt Lake Counties.....	4.8-8
4.8-4	Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Proposed Legacy Parkway/500 South Interchange, Legacy Parkway Mainline, and Legacy Parkway Trail .....	4.8-13
4.8-5	Air Quality Monitoring Data – PM10 (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ).....	4.8-14
4.9-1	Existing Noise Levels October 2003 .....	4.9-6
4.9-2	Modeled Noise Levels at Sensitive Receptors .....	4.9-9
4.9-3	Total Number of Modeled Receptors Affected by Proposed Build Alternatives .....	4.9-12
4.9-4	Noise Abatement for Legacy Parkway .....	4.9-16
4.10-1	Typical Highway Runoff Contaminants .....	4.10-6
4.10-2	Recent Data on Chloride and TDS Concentrations in Highway Runoff and Roadside Streams Compared to UDEQ Water Quality Standards .....	4.10-9
4.10-3	Affected Groundwater Right.....	4.10-14
4.11-1	Required Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-7
4.12-1	Wetland Cover Types, Quantities, and Functional Ratings for the Study Area.....	4.12-4
4.12-2	Average Functional Values for Functional Rating .....	4.12-5
4.12-3	Comparison of Wetland Cover Types and Corresponding Wildlife Habitat Types .....	4.12-7
4.12-4	Direct Impacts on Wetlands by Wetland Class and Wetland Cover Type (for 100-m [328-ft] Right-of-Way.....	4.12-10

4.12-5	Direct Impacts on Wetlands under 328-ft Right-of-Way and 312-ft Right-of-Way .....	4.12-11
4.13-1a	Legacy Parkway/GSLE Bird Species Habitat Matrix .....	follows 4.13-6
4.13-1b	Non-Bird Species Habitat Matrix .....	follows 4.13-6
4.13-2	Special-Status Wildlife Species of the Legacy Parkway Project Study Area/Great Salt Lake Ecosystem .....	follows 4.13-6
4.13-3	Short-Term Sound Level Measurements .....	4.13-11
4.13-4	Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, and Maximum Noise Levels .....	4.13-12
4.13-5	Potential Impact (acres) of Future Development and Build Alternatives in Project Study Area.....	4.13-15
4.13-6	Areal Comparison of Build Alternatives with Regional Study Area .....	4.13-19
4.13-7	Percentage of Regionally Available Wildlife Habitat Loss by Alternative .....	4.13-20
4.13-8	Regional Wildlife Habitat Availability at Low and High GSL Lake Levels .....	4.13-22
4.13-9	Wildlife Habitat Availability within the Project Study Area at Low and High GSL Lake Levels.....	4.13-23
4.13-10	Summary of Habitat Fragmentation by Habitat Category Resulting from Build Alternatives .....	4.13-26
4.13-11	Acres of Wildlife Habitat Exposed to Noise under Build Alternatives .....	4.13-34
4.13-12	Legacy Nature Preserve Mitigation Lands Compared to Direct Wildlife Habitat Losses under Build Alternatives.....	4.13-49
4.14-1	Impacts on Great Salt Lake Floodplain North of Center Street .....	4.14-4
4.15-1	Special-Status Species That Occur or Could Occur in the Study Area.....	4.15-2
4.15-2	State Species of Special Concern Excluded from Further Analysis.....	4.15-4
4.15-3	Reasonable and Prudent Mitigation Measures and Terms and Conditions of Biological Opinion.....	4.15-9
4.16-1	Update of Historic and Prehistoric Archaeological Sites Identified in the APE during Field Surveys .....	4.16-6
4.16-2	In-Period Historic Buildings in the APE, outside the Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-8

4.16-3	Clark Lane Historic District In-Period Historic Buildings Identified in Final EIS .....	4.16-13
4.16-4	Impacts on NRHP-Eligible Historic and Prehistoric Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-16
4.16-5	Impacts on NRHP-Eligible Historic Structures .....	4.16-18
4.17-1	Potential Hazardous Waste Sites Identified since Publication of the Final EIS.....	4.17-3
4.17-2	Status of Hazardous Waste Sites in Alternatives D and E Right-of-Way .....	4.17-4
4.17-3	Hazardous Waste Sites in Alternatives D and E Right-of-Way That Would also Be Affected by Other Build Alternatives .....	4.17-5
4.19-1	Existing (2001) and Future (2020) Daily Traffic-Related Energy Consumption .....	4.19-2
4.20-1	Commercial Sand and Gravel Pits near Proposed Legacy Parkway Alignments .....	4.20-4
4.20-2	Required Earthwork and Construction-Related Energy Consumption By Alternative .....	4.20-5
4.20-3	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels .....	4.20-8
4.21-1	Typical Noise Levels in Rural and Urban Areas in the U.S. ....	4.21-5
4.24-1	Mitigation Summary.....	4.24-2
5-1	Section 4(f) Trails .....	5.9-6
5-2	Historic Resources Identified in Final EIS as Eligible for NRHP Listing and Section 4(f) Protection.....	5.9-10
5-3	Historic Resources Identified in 2004 Inventory as Eligible for NRHP and Section 4(f) Protection.....	5.9-11
5-4	Acres of Direct Use of Land at FBWMA's Eastern Entrance, Updated for Reduced Right-of-Way .....	5.9-20
5-5	Results of Updated Noise Analysis for FBWMA.....	5.9-21
5-6	Updated Noise Analysis for Bountiful City Pond .....	5.9-24
5-7	Revised Direct Use of Land from Utah State Parks Land (Jordan River OHV Center).....	5.9-26

5-8	Temporary Easements on CLHD Properties Resulting from State Street Overpass Reconstruction.....	5.9-28
5-9	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties by Alternative.....	5.9-35



# Figures

## Follows Page

1-1	Proposed Action .....	1-2
1-2	2020 Shared Solution without Legacy Parkway .....	1-10
2.1-1	Legacy Parkway Supplemental EIS Right-of-Way Cross Section With Berm.....	2.1-4
2.1-2	Legacy Parkway Supplemental EIS Right-of-Way Cross Section Without Berm.....	2.1-4
2.1-3	Berm Locations along Proposed Right-of-way .....	2.1-10
2.1-4	Design Flexibility Cross Section Used to Minimize Impacts: Reduced Footprint 80 m (264 ft) within 95 m (312 ft) Right-of-Way .....	2.1-12
2.2-1	D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-2
2.2-2	Relocations .....	2.2-8
2.2-3	D&RG Conceptual Alignments: A Link-by-Link Impact Summary .....	2.2-14
2.3-1	Recommended Land Use Shifts.....	2.3-8
2.3-2	Comparison of Measures of Effectiveness of 2020 Baseline with Robust Transit Package A and Robust Transit Package B .....	2.3-10
2.3-3	Comparison of Measures of Effectiveness by Mode of 2020 Baseline with Robust Transit Package A and Robust Transit Package B .....	2.3-10
2.3-4	Legacy Parkway Bridge Structures Designed to Accommodate Integration of Mass Transit.....	2.3-12
2.4-1	All Scenarios Wetlands Impacts.....	2.4-6
2.4-2	All Scenarios Travel Speed on I-15 between US-89/I-215 Interchange.....	2.4-6
2.4-3	All Scenarios Average I-15 Travel Times between US-89/I-215 Interchange .....	2.4-6

2.4-4	All Scenarios Cumulative Cost to the Traveling Public .....	2.4-6
3-1	Regional Alignments Evaluated in the Final EIS .....	3-4
3-2	Build Alternatives Evaluated in the Final EIS and Reevaluated in the Supplemental EIS.....	3-4
3-3	I-15 Traffic Volume, Peak Period Peak Direction .....	3-6
4.0-1	Legacy Parkway Study Area .....	4.0-2
4.1-1	Existing and Planned Development in the Study Area.....	4.1-4
4.2-1	Farmland in Study Area.....	4.2-2
4.2-2	Prime and State/Local-Important Farmland .....	4.2-4
4.2-3	Farmland Impacts.....	4.2-6
4.2-4	Prime and State/Local-Important Farmland Impacts.....	4.2-8
4.3-1	Census Tract Boundaries.....	4.3-2
4.3-2	Ethnic Composition in Study Area.....	4.3-6
4.3-3	Household Income in Study Area.....	4.3-8
4.3-4	Major Arterials and Local Roadways.....	4.3-10
4.3-5	Public Facility Locations .....	4.3-12
4.3-6	Minority, Elderly, and Blind Populations .....	4.3-16
4.6-1	Joint Development Opportunities with Proposed Legacy Parkway Trails and Other Trails.....	4.6-4
4.9-1	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 1: I-215 to 900 North .....	4.9-4
4.9-2	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 2: 900 North to 1200 North .....	4.9-4
4.9-3	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 3: 1200 North to Parrish Lane .....	4.9-4
4.9-4	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 4: Parrish Lane to Grovers Lane .....	4.9-4
4.9-5	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 5: Grovers Lane to US-89/I-15 Interchange .....	4.9-4

4.9-6	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 5: Grovers Lane to Northern Terminus (Alternative B Only) .....	4.9-4
4.10-1	Impaired Segment of the Jordan River within the Study Area.....	4.10-2
4.10-2	Existing and Private Wells.....	4.10-4
4.10-3	Drinking Water Source Protection Zones Relative to the Study Area .....	4.10-4
4.10-4	Surface Water and Groundwater Conveyance Structures .....	4.10-12
4.10-5	Potentially Affected Wells.....	4.10-14
4.12-1	Jurisdictional Wetlands.....	4.12-6
4.12-2	Parcels Associated with Legacy Nature Preserve.....	4.12-22
4.13-1	Location of Great Salt Lake in Lake Bonneville Basin.....	4.13-2
4.13-2	Project Study Area .....	4.13-2
4.13-3	Regional Study Area .....	4.13-2
4.13-4	Great Salt Lake Ecosystem (GSLE) Area .....	4.13-2
4.13-5	Wildlife Habitats and Build Alternatives in the Legacy Parkway Project Study Area .....	4.13-8
4.13-6	Total Existing Wildlife Habitat in Project Study Area .....	4.13-8
4.13-7	Noise Monitoring Locations in the Legacy Parkway Project Study Area.....	4.13-10
4.13-8	Legacy Parkway Study Area Potential Future Development.....	4.13-14
4.13-9	Direct Habitat Loss in Project Study Area by Habitat Type .....	4.13-16
4.13-10	Wildlife Habitat Availability in Project Study Area at Low and High Lake Levels.....	4.13-20
4.13-11	Wildlife Habitat Availability in Regional Study Area at Low and High Lake Levels .....	4.13-20
4.13-12	Legacy Parkway Study Area Potential Future Development and Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve .....	4.13-50
4.13-13	Great Salt Lake Inundation Zones.....	4.13-50
4.13-14	Highway Noise Level for the Proposed Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve.....	4.13-51

4.13-15	Areal Extent of Proposed Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve Affected by Noise (Existing Conditions) .....	4.13-51
4.13-16	Area of Proposed Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve that Would be Affected by Noise (Alternative E) .....	4.13-51
4.13-17	Net Area Affected by Noise compared to No Road Condition.....	4.13-51
4.14-1	Revised Floodplain Area .....	4.14-2
4.14-2	Floodplain Equalization and Stream Crossing Culverts .....	4.14-4
4.16-1	Historic Structures in the APE .....	4.16-18
4.17-1	Additional Hazardous Waste Sites Evaluated Since Publication of Final EIS .....	4.17-4
4.18-1	Key Observation Point Locations .....	4.18-2
5-1	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Property Locations.....	5-6
5-2	Legacy Nature Preserve Boundary and Section 4(f) Property Locations.....	5-14
5-3	Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative and Section 4(f) Property Locations .....	5-14
5-4	White House and Clark Lane Historic District (Farmington) Locations .....	5-14
5-5	Impacts and Replacement Land FBWMA's Eastern Entrance.....	5-20
5-6	Impacts and Replacement Land FBWMA's Southern Entrance and Bountiful City Pond.....	5-20
5-7	Impacts, Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (OHVC) .....	5-26
5-8	Impacts, White House Historic Building .....	5-26
5-9	Temporary Easements, Clark Lane Historic District (Farmington) .....	5-28
5-10	Impacts, D&RG Railroad.....	5-32
5-11	Detail of Impacts, D&RG Railroad.....	5-32
5-12	Replacement Land, Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center .....	5-38